

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 796 of 1989

WITH CA 1881/89

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

VASANT VINAYAK AMBEDKAR

Versus

MANAGER, MILTON LTD.

Appearance:

MR AR THACKER for Petitioner No. 1
MR KS NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1
RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 2
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 3-5,7
MR MUKESH H RATHOD for Respondent No. 3-4
MR PJ MEHTA for Respondent No. 6

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI
Date of decision: 15/07/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. P.J. Mehta, learned Advocate for the
respondent no.2 states that in view of the order dated

19.12.1990, the grievances raised by the petitioner in this petition do not survive. Mr. Thacker for the petitioner does not dispute this fact. Accordingly this petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Liberty to revive in case of difficulty.

Since the main petition is disposed of, the above civil application does not survive and is accordingly disposed of.

[K.S. JHAVERI, J.]

ar